Monatshefte für Chemie **Chemical Monthly** Printed in Austria

Angularly Substituted Octahydroindoles, Decahydroquinolines, Octahydropyrindines, and Octahydrocyclopenta[b]pyrroles by Bruylants Reaction

Eberhard Reimann^{*}, Christian Ettmayr^a, and Kurt Polborn

Department Pharmazie – Zentrum für Pharmaforschung, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, D-81377 München, Germany

Received October 22, 2003; accepted October 27, 2003 Published online February 5, 2004 © Springer-Verlag 2004

Summary. The easily available cycloalkanoyl acetic- and propionic acid esters are transformed to the corresponding amines by standard procedures. These in turn provided an efficient access to cyclic α -aminonitriles, which were reacted with a series of *Grignard* reagents yielding stereoselectively the cis-configured title compounds; the scope and limitation of this route were investigated. The stereochemical assignment was achieved by X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy.

Keywords. Intramolecular Strecker reaction; X-Ray structure determination; Angularly substituted N-heterocycles.

Introduction

The phenyl cyclohexyl-amine-fragment forms part of several remarkable substances possessing highly potent activity on central nervous system. Perhaps the best known example is phencyclidine (PCP), which has been developed in the 1950s as an intravenous anaesthetic but, due to the serious side effects, its use was stopped, but until today it is abused as a dangerous psychotomimetikum [1, 2] (Fig. 1, I).

The search for *PCP* replacements soon after led to the structural analogue ketamine II which proved to be a strong analgesic and, because of its rapid anaesthetic onset after injection, it has found increased use in the induction of anesthesia and in the emergency surgery. But hallucinatory side effects particularly occurring in the post-narcotic stage have been also reported [3, 4]. Furthermore, a series of

Corresponding author. E-mail: ebrei@cup.uni-muenchen.de

^a Part of PhD thesis, LMU München, D

558 E. Reimann et al.

Fig. 1. Compounds with an integrated phenylcyclohexylamine framework

more complex structures obviously connected to the search for PCP analogues contain a rigid aryl cyclohexylamine framework, e.g. the angularly arylated hydroindoles and -quinolines 15 and 16 (Fig. 1, $R^1 = H$), which are claimed to exhibit also CNS depressant properties similar to those attributed to PCP. Unfortunately, detailed pharmacological data are not available hitherto [5].

The title compounds attracted our interest not only because of their biological activities mentioned; they also suggest to be appropriate precursors for the construction of the frameworks of the erythrina and schelhammerane alkaloids (Fig. 1, III, IV) since they differ from title compound 15 only by the C_2 - or C_3 -bridge connecting the nitrogen- and the carbon atom C-12 of the aryl moiety. Thus in connection with our investigations relating to the intramolecular alkylation of aromatic compounds, we wish to report in this paper a general stereoselective approach to the title compounds 15–18 as well as to check its scope and limitations.

Results and Discussion

The first synthesis of the compounds like 15 and 16 (Fig. 1, $R^1 = H$) – being the only one to our knowledge – was based on partially hydrogenated indoles and quinolines, each involving an intact bridgehead imino moiety. The angular substituents were introduced by addition of aryllithium compounds or in a two step route by formation of the α -aminocarbonitriles followed by replacement of the nitrile group by the corresponding Grignard reagents known as Bruylants reaction [6]. Unfortunately, the total yields of the compounds reported were unsatisfactory or given not at all. Furthermore, no information concerning the stereochemistry of the bicyclic frameworks had been reported [5]. This gave rise to a more detailed investigation in this field described in the present work.

Following the Bruylants strategy mentioned above, at first we focused our interest on the preparation of the bicyclic α -aminonitriles 11–14, which could be prepared in excellent yields by intramolecular Strecker reaction using the Oprotected aminoketones 9 and 10 to afford the perhydrogenated indole-, quinoline-, pyrindine-, and cyclopenta[b]pyrrole-carbonitriles 11, 12a, 13, and 14. Compound 12b was prepared starting from nitrile 19b *via* the amine 9g, whereas 9f failed to give the corresponding aminonitrile (Scheme 3, A). The educts 9 and 10 in turn were easily available starting from the known cycloalkanone acid esters 1 and 2 [7–9] and further transformation by standard procedures via $3 \rightarrow 8$ (see Schemes 1) and 2).

Concerning the stereochemistry, 12a, 12b, and 14 only showed a single set of lines in the NMR spectra indicating a stereoselective formation of the possible

 $Bn =$ benzyl; $PhEt = 1$ -phenylethyl

Scheme 1

cis- or trans-fused heterocycles, whereas the produtcs 11a–11d and 13 were found to be diastereomeric mixtures unseparable by TLC. Due to an additional chirality center located at the N-substituent compound 11c exhibited four sets of signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. The ratios of the diastereomers were determined using the integrals of the ${}^{1}H$ NMR absorptions originating from the corresponding N-methylor benzyl groups.

The configuration of compounds 12a and 12b as well as 14 could be assigned comparing appropriate NMR data, namely the ${}^{13}C\delta$ -values of the tertiary bridgehead atom, with those of known closely related compounds. Thus, the carbon atoms concerned resonates in trans-decaline-4a-carbonitrile [10] or in a more complex structure containing the *trans* configured unit of 12 [11] at $\delta = 44.8$ and 44.5 ppm. These results matched very well with those observed for 12a and 12b $(\delta = 44.94$ and 44.35 ppm, Scheme 1) indicating the *trans*-configuration of the products.

Angularly substituted carbonitriles of type 14 obviously have not yet been reported until now. NMR Data published concerning the stereochemistry exclusively refer to *cis*-configured bicyclo^[3.3.0]octanes, corresponding values for *trans*fused compounds are lacking [12]. Therefore the assignment of 14 was based on the downfield shift of about 6–8 ppm induced by angular substituents, $e.g.$ methyl or carboxyl, on the neighbouring bridgehead carbon atom of the cis-fused parent bicyclooctane ($\delta_{\text{C-ang}} = 43.2$ ppm vs. $\delta_{\text{C-6a}} = 50.9$ or 49.8 ppm) [12]. In addition, the C-3a atom of a more complex structure containing the cis-fused cyclopentapyrrole framework resonates at $\delta = 50.4$ ppm [13]. The value $\delta_{C-3a} = 50.94$ ppm found for 14 is in line with the reported ones proving the *cis*-fused framework of the product.

In the same manner the configurations of compounds 11 and 13 could be assigned, since both stereomers were available. In the perhydroindoles 11 the inversion from the *trans*- to the *cis*-fused ring system caused an upfield shift of about 5 ppm at carbon atom C-3a comparable with those observed at C-4a in Nmethyldecahydroquinolines [14–17]. On the other hand the corresponding bridgehead carbon atom C-4a of the pyrindine derivative 13 exhibited a somewhat smaller difference ($\Delta\delta$ = 3.2 ppm, Scheme 1). In this connection it should be noted, that the oily product 13 solidified after some time. The NMR spectrum of the crystals measured immediately after their dissolution indicated only the transstereomer, after about 3 hours a 1:1-mixture of both stereomers could be observed, and after several further hours, a stable 2:1-equilibrium was reached revealing the cis-configured compound as the main stereomer. Compounds 11 gave the same $cis/trans$ ratios.

In the final step the nitriles 11–14 were reacted with a number of *Grignard* reagents according to *Bruylants* giving the new title compounds 15–18 (Scheme 1). Using aryl *Grignard* reagents the yields of the products 15a–15f and 16b and 16c were low $(11–34\%)$ with the exception of 18 and 17b, which were formed with 78% or only in traces (0.2%). Reacting the secondary base 12b with Grignard reagents no products were observed (Scheme 3, B). Changing the experimental procedure, e.g. inverse order of addition of the reagents, variation of the temperature and the reaction time as well as the halogen of the Grignard reagent did not improve the result. Byproducts, e.g. enamines and their hydrolysis products which were reported to be formed during the Bruylants reaction [18], or arylketones formed by addition to the nitrile function were not observed. The ketone 21, however, could be obtained when the educt 11a was reacted with phenyl lithium (Scheme 4).

The low yields obtained suggested, that the reaction rate depended on the steric bulk of both the Grignard reagent and the N-substituent of the educts. Indeed support of this assumption was best seen by reacting phenyl magnesium bromide with N-benzylated and N-methylated educts 11a and 11b yielding 25 and 34% of 15a and 15f, whereas bulky N-substituents, e.g. 1-phenylethyl or isopropyl in the educts 11c and 11d, were found to inhibit the desired conversion completely. In contrast, replacement of aryl Grignard reagents by those possessing a smaller steric demand at the reaction center provided high yields of the products. Thus, reacting the educts 11a and 11b with benzyl- and methyl Grignard reagents, 15k, 15l, 16a, and 17a were obtained in 76–83% yields. Even the same was true for the educts 11c and 11d giving also moderate to satisfactory yields of products 15g, 15h, and 15i (Scheme 1).

NMR Spectroscopy of the target compounds 15–18 indicated them all to be single diastereomers independent of the stereochemical property and purity of the educts 11–14 signifying that the *Bruylants* reaction had diastereoselectively occured. Since an unequivocal stereochemical assignment of the octahydroindoles 15 failed by NMR, an X-ray diffraction analysis of compound 15a was performed showing a cis-fused hydroindole framework and the aryl group axially attached to the carbocyclus (Fig. 2). The true configuration of 15a now also enabled the stereochemical assignment of the analogous compounds 15b–15f including the Nregioisomer pyrindine 17b, using the same reasoning described above for the

Fig. 2. Crystal structure of 15a

educts 11–14. Thus, the ¹³C δ values of the bridgehead carbon atom C-3a of the products 15b–15f as well as of C-4a of 17b were found to be in good accordance with that available from **15a** $(\delta = 45.58 - 47.16 \text{ vs. } 46.67 \text{ ppm}, \text{ Scheme } 1 \text{ and } \text{Exp})$ indicating also the cis-configuration of the compounds concerned.

The cis-configuration of the angular methyl derivatives 15i and 15k was proved by comparison with a known compound. Their hydrogenolysis afforded the same deprotected amine 15m (Scheme 4), exhibiting ${}^{1}\text{H}\delta$ -values, which were completely in line with those of the identical cis-configured product reported in Ref. [21]. In addition, the ¹³C δ values of the bridgehead carbon atom C-3a of 15i, 15k, and 15m now were available resonating between $\delta = 44.18$ and 45.06 ppm (Scheme 1 and Exp.).

In a similar manner the configurations of the quinoline derivatives 16b and 16c were established by way of debenzylated product 16e (Scheme 2). The NMR data

Scheme 4

found, namely the δ -value of the carbon atom C-4a were in line with those reported for the known *cis*-8a-phenyldecahydroquinoline [19] ($\delta_{C-4a} = 35.90$ vs. 35.70 ppm). In contrast, the corresponding absorptions of the N-benzylated compounds **16b** and **16c** were found at lower field $(\delta_{C-4a} = 42.19$ and 42.22 ppm, Scheme 1).

The cis-configuration of the angularly substituted benzyl-indoles 15g, 15i, and 15l, as well as 16a, and 17a was assigned by a significant NOE between the bridgehead methin proton and one of the protons of the angular benzyl group attached either to the methylene group or to the ortho position of the aryl moiety. In the case of 16a and 17a the hydrochlorides of the N-deprotected compounds 16d and 17c were prepared providing more distinct results than the educts (Scheme 2). In addition it should be noted that a small amount of the *trans*-configured stereomer 16b could be separated (Exp.). For comparison purposes the corresponding $13C\delta$ values of the bridgehead methin carbon atom now available are also presented in Scheme 1.

The assignment of the stereochemistry of the cyclopentanopyrrole 18 by NOE failed because of unseparable superimpositions of the peaks of the methin proton. But due to the similar absorption of C-3a in 14 as well as to that of the reference compound mentioned above [11] the cis-configuration could be assumed.

The highly stereoselective course of the syntheses of the target compounds may be explained on the basis of the reported mechanism of the Bruylants reaction [20]. In the first step the *Grignard* reagent coordinates with the nitrogen atoms of the α aminonitrile as shown in Scheme 5 (V) followed by the formation of an intermediate iminium salt with a preferred puckered ringfusion. The subsequent nucleophilic attack of the Grignard reagent is favored from the re-face of the carbon-nitrogen double bond ($VI \rightarrow VII$). Finally it should be noted that the presence of the cyanide group in the intermediate seems to play an important role concerning the stereoselective course of the reaction, since the preparation of 15k gave a stereomeric mixture when the corresponding pure N-benzylhexahydroindolium bromide was used as educt [21].

In conclusion, we have established a general, stereoselective route to the title compounds. The dominant features consist of the initial intramolecular Strecker reaction followed by the *Bruylants* reaction. We are currently investigating this pathway in further detail and applying the procedure to natural product and drug synthesis.

Scheme 5

Experimental

Melting points are measured with a Reichert hot-stage microscope and are uncorrected. IR: Perkin Elmer FT-IR Paragon 1000 and Jasco FT-IR 410. NMR: Jeol GSX 400 and Jeol GSX 500 (1 H: 400 and 500 MHz, ¹³C: 100 and 125 MHz, CDCl₃, *TMS* as internal reference), $t = 25^{\circ}$ C (unless otherwise stated); MS (70 eV): Hewlett Packard MS-Engine. Elemental analyses: Heraeus CHN-Rapid and Elementar Vario EL; the results are in good agreement with the calculated values. Thin layer chromatography (TLC): aluminum sheets Kieselgel 60 F_{254} (Merck) and aluminum sheets Aluminiumoxid F254 (Fluka), each thickness of layer 0.2 mm. Flash chromatography (FC): ICN-Sili Tech 32–63, 60 A and Aluminiumoxid Typ 507 C neutral 0.05–0.15 mm. (Oxocycloalkyl)alkanoic acid ethyl esters 1 and 2 were prepared according to literature: $1a/Ref.$ [7], $2b/Ref.$ [8], 1b and $2a/Ref.$ [9].

Improved General Procedure for the Synthesis of Dioxolanes 3 and 4 According to Ref. [22]

A solution of the corresponding cycloalkanone 1 or 2, ethane-1,2-diol, and pyridinium tosylate (PPTS) in 300 cm³ of benzene was refluxed with water separation by a *Dean-Stark* trap until the reaction was completed (about 5 h; IR monitoring). After evaporating the solvent in vacuo the residue was dissolved in 150 cm³ of Et₂O and the solution was consecutively washed with $4\times100 \text{ cm}^3$ of H₂O, 100 cm³ of saturated NaHCO₃ solution, 100 cm³ of brine, and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give sufficiently pure products for the next step.

$(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl) acctic acid ethyl ester (3a)$

1a 54.5 g (296 mmol), ethane-1,2-diol 91.8 g (1.48 mol), PPTS 22.3 g (89.2 mmol); yield 66.8 g (99%) colourless oil, bp $96^{\circ}C/19$ Pa (Ref. [23] 106-109°C/66 Pa); TLC (CH₂Cl₂): $R_f = 0.40$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 1736 \text{ (CO}_2 R) \text{ cm}^{-1}$; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 229 (M⁺⁺+1, 10), 183 (M⁺ –C₂H₅O, 100), 155 (14), 99 (5); ¹H NMR: δ = 4.05 (dq, J = 7.1, 1.8 Hz, CO₂CH₂), 3.90–3.81 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.47 (dd, $J = 14.9, 5.6$ Hz, 1H, CH₂CO₂), 2.19–2.10 (m, CH), 1.99 (dd, $J = 14.9, 7.9$ Hz, 1H, CH₂CO₂), $1.74-1.68$ and $1.61-1.52$ (2m, each 2H), $1.47-1.35$ (m, 1H), $1.35-1.21$ (m, 3H), 1.18 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, $CH₃$) ppm.

$3-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)$ propionic acid methyl ester (3b)

1b 50.0 g (272 mmol), ethane-1,2-diol 84.2 g (1.36 mol), PPTS 20.4 g (81.6 mmol); yield 60.1 g (97%) colourless oil, bp 101–108°C/57 Pa (Ref. [24] 110–130°C/66 Pa); IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 1739$ (CO₂R) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 228 (M^{+•}, 10), 183 (32), 155 (9), 113 (25), 99 (100), 55 (28); ¹H NMR data are in line with those published in Ref. [25]; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 174.23, 110.35, 64.51, 64.35, 51.20, 43.80, 34.37,$ 33.98, 28.29, 24.29, 23.63, 23.52 ppm.

$3-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.4]non-6-yl)$ propionic acid methyl ester (4a)

2a 52.0 g (306 mmol), ethane-1,2-diol 94.8 g (1.53 mol), PPTS 25.5 g (102 mmol); yield 64.83 (99%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂): $R_f = 0.40$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 1738$ (CO₂R) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 214 $(M^{+•}, 15)$, 183 (10), 141 (17), 125 (11), 99 (100); ¹H NMR data are in line with those published in Ref. $[26]$; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 174.24$, 117.95, 64.58, 64.42, 51.46, 45.45, 35.71, 32.82, 29.31, 24.38, 20.63 ppm.

$(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.4]non-6-*vl*)_{acetic acid} methyl ester (4b)$

2b 43.5 g (278 mmol), ethane-1,2-diol 86.4 g (1.39 mol), PPTS 20.9 g (83.6 mmol); yield 66.8 g (99%) colourless oil, bp 68°C/5.7 Pa; TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:1$): $R_f = 0.62$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 1737$

 (CO_2R) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 169 (M^{+•}–CH₃O, 100), 125 (59), 99 (20); ¹H NMR: δ = 3.94–3.83 $(m, OCH_2CH_2O), 3.66$ (s, OCH_3), 2.48 (dd, $J = 14.8, 5.9$ Hz, 1H, CH₂CO₂), 2.47–2.37 (m, CH), 2.23 (dd, $J = 14.8$, 8.1 Hz, 1H, CH₂CO₂), 2.00 (ddt, $J = 12.6$, 7.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.80–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.74– 1.61 (m, 2H), 1.45–1.35 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 173.71, 117.68, 64.67 (2C), 51.44, 42.60, 35.12, 34.32, 29.44, 20.51 ppm.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of (Dioxaspiroalkyl)alkanoic acids 5 and 6 According to Ref. [27]

The mixture of the corresponding ester 3 or 4 , KOH/H₂O, and EtOH was refluxed for 2 h. After addition of $6N H₂SO₄$ with ice-cooling the solution was saturated with NaCl and extracted with $3\times100 \text{ cm}^3$ of *Et*₂O. The combined organic extracts were washed with 100 cm³ of brine and dried (Na2SO4). After removing the solvent in vacuo, the remaining colourless or light yellow oils were used for the next step without further purification.

$(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)$ acetic acid (5a)

3a 58.8 g (257 mmol), KOH 23.2 g (407 mmol)/H₂O 60 cm³, *Et*OH 60 cm³, 6 N H₂SO₄ 54.8 cm³; yield 49.86 g (97%), bp 128-131°C/7.8 Pa (Ref. [27] 123°C/2.7 Pa), the product crystallized when it was stored in the refrigerator, mp 54°C (Ref. [23] 54–56°C); IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3650-2360$ (OH), 1704 (C=O) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 201 (M^{+•}+1, 100), 183 (29), 139 (23); ¹H NMR: δ = 9.81 (broad s, CO₂H), 3.92–3.83 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.53 and 2.05 (2dd, $J = 15.2$, 5.8 and 15.2, 7.4 Hz, each 1H, CH₂CO₂), 2.19–2.11 (m, CH), 1.76–1.70 and 1.62–1.55 (2m, each 2H), 1.47–1.18 (m, 4H) ppm; 13C NMR: $\delta = 179.57, 109.84, 64.68, 64.49, 41.53, 34.36, 34.25, 30.07, 24.60, 23.64$ ppm.

$3-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)propionic acid$ (5b)

3b 42.1 g (184 mmol), KOH 16.6 g (296 mmol)/H₂O 70 cm³, *Et*OH 70 cm³, 6 N H₂SO₄ 39.2 cm³; yield 37.43 g (97%); IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3650 - 2355$ (OH), 1710 (C=O) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 215 (M⁺+1, 100), 197 (51), 153 (58); ¹H NMR data were in line with those published in Ref. [24].

3-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.4]non-6-yl)propionic acid (6a, $C_{10}H_{16}O_4$)

4a 39.0 g (182 mmol), KOH 16.6 g (296 mmol)/H₂O 70 cm³, *Et*OH 70 cm³, 6 N H₂SO₄ 38.8 cm³; yield 33.12 g (91%, purification of the crude product failed); TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH = 10:0.2): $R_f = 0.42$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3650 - 2250$ (OH), 1710 (C=O) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 201 (M⁺+1, 96), 183 (90), 139 (100) ; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 10.41$ (broad s, CO₂H), 4.98–3.83 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.42–2.32 (m, 2H), 1.80– 1.52 (m, 8H), 1.40–1.29 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 179.91$, 117.97, 64.53, 64.40, 45.25, 35.69, 32.70, 29.29, 24.04, 20.61 ppm.

$(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.4]non-6-yl)$ acetic acid (6b, C₉H₁₄O₄)

4b 18.21 g (91.1 mmol), KOH 8.21 g (144 mmol)/H₂O 45 cm³, EtOH 45 cm³, 6N H₂SO₄ 19.4 cm³; yield 15.17 g (90%, purification of the crude product failed); IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3650 - 2360$ (OH), 1708 (C=O) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 188 (M⁺⁺+1, 2), 183 (67), 139 (100); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 3.97 - 3.85$ (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.53 and 2.28 (2dd, $J = 15.5, 5.8$ and 15.5, 8.2 Hz, each 1H, CH_2CO_2), 2.48–2.35 (m, CH), 2.09–1.97 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.73 and 1.73–1.61 (2m, each 2H), 1.47– 1.37 (m, 1H) ppm.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Amides 7 and 8

A mixture of the acid 5 or 6 and N, N' -carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) in anhydrous THF was stirred until generation of CO₂ was completed (ca. 30 min). Then the amine was added and the solution was refluxed for 8 h. After evaporating the solvent in vacuo the residue was dissolved in 200 cm^3 of CH₂Cl₂ and the solution was consecutively washed with $3\times100 \text{ cm}^3$ of H₂O, 100 cm³ of 1N HCl and $2\times250 \text{ cm}^3$ of saturated Na₂CO₃ solution. After drying with Na₂SO₄ and removing the solvent in vacuo the residue was crystallized from Et_2O and finally washed with a small volume of ice-cold Et_2O . If the product did not crystallize or further product could not be obtained from the mother liquor, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by FC (eluents are the same as described under TLC).

N -Benzyl-2-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)acetamide (7a, C₁₇H₂₃NO₃)

5a 32.8 g (164 mmol), *CDI* 26.0 g (160 mmol), benzylamine 22.8 g (328 mmol), *THF* 350 cm³; yield 38.4 g (81%) colourless crystals, mp 110°C; TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:0.3$): $R_f = 0.36$; IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu} = 3343$ (NH), 1639, 1570, and 1496 (CONH) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 289 (M⁺*, 14), 244 (18), 155 (37), 106 (22), 99 (100), 91 (54), 55 (37); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.35-7.24$ (m, 5arom H), 6.14 (broad s, NH), 4.42 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, benzyl–CH₂), 3.98–3.80 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.55 and 1.95 (2dd, $J = 14.5, 4.8$ and $14.5, 7.9$ Hz, each 1H, CH₂CON), 2.25–2.17 (m, CH), 1.85–1.75 and 1.68–1.58 (2m, each 2H), 1.52–1.23 (m, 4H) ppm.

2-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)-N-methylacetamide (7b, $C_{11}H_{19}NO_3$)

5a 4.53 g (22.7 mmol), CDI 3.60 g (22.2 mmol)/THF 70 cm³. The solution was cooled to -20° C and added to a solution of 7 cm³ of methylamine in 30 cm³ of *THF* at -40° C under stirring. After removing the cooling bath, the mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature, then for 8 h at 45°C. Further work up was accomplished following the general procedure. Yield 2.65 g (55%) colourless crystals, mp 103°C (Et_2 O); TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:0.8$): $R_f = 0.47$; IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu} = 3260$, 3089 (NH), 1636, 1574 (amide I/II) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 213 (M^{+•}, 9), 155 (28), 141 (12), 99 (100), 55 (28); ¹H NMR: δ = 5.92 (br s, NH), 4.01–3.87 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.79 (m, NCH₃), 2.52 and 1.91 (2dd, each *J* = 14.4, 4.7 Hz, each 1H), 2.21–2.13 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.76 and 1.69–1.57 (2m, each 2H), 1.53–1.41 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.23 (m, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 173.57$ (C=O), 110.17, 64.59, 64.55, 41.81, 36.52, 34.30, 30.16, 26.28, 24.54, 23.74 ppm.

2-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)-N-(1-phenylethyl)acetamide (7c, $C_{18}H_{25}NO_3$, diastereomeric mixture)

5a 4.89 g (24.5 mmol), CDI 3.88 g (24.0 mmol), (\pm) -1-phenylethylamine 3.85 g (31.8 mmol), THF 100 cm³; yield 5.47 g (74%) colourless crystals, mp 110°C (Et_2 O); TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH = 10:0.2): R_f = 0.31/0.29; IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu}$ = 3326 (NH), 1632, 1553 (amide I/II) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 303 $(M^{+}$, 27), 258 (22), 155 (46), 120 (31), 105 (63), 99 (100); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.36–7.29 (m, 4arom H), 7.27–7.22 (m, 1arom H), 6.10–6.08 (br m, NH), 5.13 (m, 1H), 3.99–3.77 (m, OCH2CH2O), 2.55–2.48, 2.22–2.13 and 1.95–1.88 (3m, each 1H), 1.81–1.72 and 1.67–1.54 (2m, each 2H), 1.49–1.16 (m, 4H), 1.47 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 171.79$, 171.72, 143.49, 143.47, 128.54, 127.16, 126.17, 110.16, 110.12, 64.54, 64.53, 64.44, 64.39, 48.54, 48.51, 41.76, 41.68, 36.85, 36.23, 34.26, 30.63, 30.14, 24.49, 23.68, 21.81, 21.75 ppm.

2-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)-N-isopropylacetamide (7d, $C_{13}H_{23}NO_3$)

5a 8.20 g (41.0 mmol), *CDI* 6.51 g (40.2 mmol), isopropylamine 4.6 cm³ (53.8 mmol), *THF* 100 cm³; yield $7.41\,\text{g}$ (75%) colourless crystals, mp 101°C ($Et_2\text{O}$); TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ $(25\%) = 10:0.3:0.05$: $R_f = 0.78$; IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu} = 3325$ (NH), 1634, 1573 (amide I/II) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 241 (M^{+•}, 3), 258 (22), 155 (38), 99 (100), 55 (45); ¹H NMR: δ = 5.57 (br s, NH), 4.06–3.96 (m, $J = 6.7$, 1.4, 1H), 3.95–3.81 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.42 and 1.80 (2dd, $J = 14.3$, 5.0 and 14.3, 8.3 Hz, each 1H), 2.14–2.06 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.68 and 1.62–1.52 (2m, each 2H), 1.47–1.18 (m, 4H), 1.08 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 171.69$ (C=O), 110.02, 64.46, 64.37, 41.64, 40.96, 36.66, 34.20, 29.88, 24.34, 23.59, 22.71, 22.66 ppm.

N -Benzyl-3-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)propionamide (7e, $C_{18}H_{25}NO_3$)

5b 28.1 g (132 mmol), *CDI* 20.9 g (129 mmol), benzylamine 18.4 g (172 mmol), *THF* 300 cm³; yield 28.84 g (72%) colourless crystals, mp 75°C; TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:0.2$): $R_f = 0.46$; IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu}$ = 3305 (NH), 1637 and 1538 (CONH) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 303 (M^{+•}, 25), 258 (26), 169 (11) , 155 (27) , 106 (32) , 99 (100) , 91 (63) , 55 (49) ; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.35 - 7.24$ (m, 5arom H), 6.08 (broad s, NH), 4.43 (d, $J = 5.7$ Hz, benzyl–CH₂), 3.95–3.84 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.33 and 2.18 (2ddd, $J = 14.8$, 9.0, 6.3 and 14.8, 8.8, 6.9 Hz, each 1H, CH₂CON), 1.94 (dddd, $J = 13.6$, 9.0, 6.9, 4.1 Hz, 1H, CH₂-C– CON), $1.78-1.71$ (m, $2H$), $1.66-1.53$ (m, $3H$), $1.51-1.39$ (m, $2H$), $1.35-1.15$ (m, $3H$) ppm; 13 C NMR: $\delta = 173.13, 138.60, 128.65 \text{ (2C)}, 127.88 \text{ (2C)}, 127.41, 110.78, 64.68, 64.45, 43.98, 43.58, 35.02, 34.46,$ 29.56, 24.68, 24.51, 23.72 ppm.

$N-Benzyl-3-(1,4-dioxaspirol/4.4|non-6-yl)propionamide$ (8a, $C_{17}H_{23}NO_3$)

6a 29.0 g (145 mmol), *CDI* 23.0 g (142 mmol), benzylamine 20.2 g (189 mmol), *THF* 330 cm³; yield 29.8 g (71%) light yellow oil, bp 173–174 \textdegree C/3 Pa (the crude product contained some impurities, which could not be removed by FC and attempted crystallization); TLC (CH_2Cl_2 : $MeOH = 10:0.2$): $R_f = 0.38$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3290$ (NH), 1644 and 1546 (CONH) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 289 (M^{+•}, 9), 244 (21), 155 (9), 141 (20), 106 (27), 99 (100), 91 (52); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.35–7.24 (m, 5arom H), 5.93 (broad s, NH), 4.44 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, benzyl–CH₂), 3.92–3.83 (m, OCH₂–CH₂O), 2.31–2.18 (m, CH2CON), 1.98–1.82 and 1.76–1.72 (2m, each 3H), 1.71–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.30 (m, 1H) ppm.

$N-Benzyl-2-(1,4-dioxaspirol/4.4|non-6-yl)acetamide$ (8b, $C_{16}H_{21}NO_3$)

6b 14.18 g (76.2 mmol), *CDI* 12.09 g (74.7 mmol), benzylamine 10.61 g (99.1 mmol), *THF* 300 cm³; yield 8.34 g (40%) colourless crystals, mp 92°C; TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:0.2$): $R_f = 0.54$; IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu}$ = 3266 (NH), 1643 and 1561 (CONH) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 275 (M⁺, 10), 203 (30), 141 (27), 106 (27), 99 (100), 91 (74), 55 (32); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.34 - 7.23$ (m, 5arom H), 6.41 (broad s, NH), 4.44 and 4.38 (2dd, $J = 14.7$, 5.8 and 14.7, 5.7 Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 3.86–3.70 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.50–2.41 (m, 2H), 2.24–2.13 and 2.02–1.93 (2m, each 1H), 1.81–1.72 and 1.72–1.57 (2m, each 2H), $1.45-1.32$ (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 172.38$, 138.63, 128.63 (2C), 127.82 (2C), 127.38, 117.62, 64.60, 64.25, 43.58, 42.65, 36.65, 35.43, 30.02, 20.73 ppm.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Amines 9 and 10

To a solution of the amide 7 or 8 in anhydrous THF was added $LiAlH₄$ during 20 min under stirring, ice cooling, and N₂. The mixture was allowed to warm up to ambient temperature, heated under reflux for 16 h, diluted with 100 cm³ of Et_2O , and then cautiously(!) poured into a mixture of 2N NaOH and Et_2O (each 150 cm³) under stirring, cooling, and N_2 . After separating the organic layer the aqueous layer was extracted with $3\times150 \text{ cm}^3$ of Et_2O . The combined ether extracts were washed with 150 cm³ of saturated Na_2CO_3 solution, dried (Na_2SO_4), and evaporated in vacuo. The crude products were used for the next step without further purification, or, if necessary, were purified by FC (eluents are the same as described under TLC).

$BenzvII2-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4,5]dec-6-vl)ethvllamine (9a)$

7a 12.47 g (43.1 mmol), LiAlH₄ 3.28 g (86.3 mmol), *THF* 250 cm³; yield 12.2 g (99%, Ref. [25] 88%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 10:0.2:0.1): $R_f = 0.23$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3313$ (NH)

cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 275 (M^{+•}, 2), 230 (33), 120 (66), 91 (100), 55 (19); ¹H NMR data are in line with those published in Ref. [25]; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 139.56$, 127.49 (2C), 127.29 (2C), 125.99, 109.79, 63.83, 63.65, 53.03, 46.81, 41.66, 33.70, 28.62, 27.86, 23.55, 22.82 ppm.

$[2-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)ethyl/methylamine (9b, C₁₁H₂₁NO₂)$

7b 1.73 g (8.1 mmol), LiAlH₄ 616 mg (16.2 mmol), *THF* 50 cm³; yield 1.56 g (97%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 10:0.5:0.1): $R_f = 0.30$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3312$ (NH) cm⁻¹; MS: a. (CI): m/z (%) = 200 (M^{+•}+1, 100), b. (EI): m/z (%) = 199 (M^{+•}, 5), 156 (91), 99 (75), 55 (100); ¹H NMR: δ = 3.99–3.90 (m, OCH₂–CH₂O), 2.63 and 2.54 (2ddd, J = 11.3, 9.5, 5.3 and 11.3, 9.9, 6.8 Hz, each 1H, N–CH₂), 2.43 (s, N–CH₃), 1.73–1.67 and 1.67–1.59 (2m, each 3H), 1.53–1.42 (m, 1H), 1.40–1.20 (m, 4H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 110.74, 64.80, 64.65, 50.61, 42.80, 36.57, 34.69, 29.62, 28.78, 24.54, 23.84 ppm.

$[2-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)ethyl](1-phenylethvl) amine (9c, C₁₈H₂₇NO₂$, diastereomeric mixture)

7c 4.19 g (13.8 mmol), LiAlH₄ 1.05 g (27.6 mmol), *THF* 100 cm³; yield 3.67 g (92%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 10:0.5:0.1): $R_f = 0.34$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3322$ (NH) cm⁻¹; MS: a. (CI): m/z (%) = 290 (M^{+•}+1, 100), b. (EI): m/z (%) = 289 (M^{+•}, 2), 274 (25), 105 (100); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.34 - 7.28$ (m, 4arom H), $7.25 - 7.19$ (m, 1arom H), $3.97 - 3.83$ (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 3.75 (q, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.58–2.31 (m, 2H), 1.82–1.53 (m, 6H), 1.52–1.38 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.11 (m, 4H), 1.34 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 145.98$, 128.35, 126.76, 126.74, 126.56, 110.70, 64.79, 64.75, 64.61, 64.58, 58.40, 46.13, 46.08, 42.80, 42.61, 34.77, 34.70, 29.68, 29.43, 29.32, 29.01, 24.56, 24.53, 24.47, 24.30, 23.81 ppm.

$[2-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)$ ethyl]isopropylamine (**9d**, C₁₃H₂₅NO₂)

7d 6.34 g (26.3 mmol), LiAlH₄ 2.00 g (52.6 mmol), *THF* 150 cm³; yield 5.43 g (91%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 10:0.3:0.05): $R_f = 0.59$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3157$ (NH) cm⁻¹; MS: a. (CI): m/z (%) = 228 (M⁺+1, 100), b. (EI): m/z (%) = 227 (M⁺, 1), 212 (11), 184 (14), 125 (15), 99 (16), 72 (100), 55 (29); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 3.99 - 3.91$ (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.79 (sept, $J = 6.2$ Hz, N–CH), 2.66 (dt, $J = 10.9$, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 1.82–1.73 and 1.67–1.57 (2m, each 3H), 1.54–1.42 (m, 1H), 1.39–1.17 (m, 4H), 1.05 and 1.04 (2d, each $J = 6.2$ Hz, 2CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 110.61$, 64.70, 64.52, 48.64, 45.98, 42.80, 34.58, 29.51, 29.16, 24.41, 23.66, 22.91, 22.82 ppm.

Benzyl[3-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)propyl]amine (9e, $C_{18}H_{27}NO_2$)

7e 9.97 g (32.9 mmol), LiAlH₄ 2.49 g (66.0 mmol), *THF* 200 cm³; yield 9.3 g (98%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:0.3$): $R_f = 0.21$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3313$ (NH) cm⁻¹; MS: a. (CI): m/z (%) = 290 $(M^{+}\text{P}+1, 100)$, b. (EI): m/z (%) = 244 (62), 120 (48), 99 (11), 91 (100), 55 (16); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.32– 7.30 (m, 4arom H), 7.27–7.21 (m, 1arom H), 3.98–3.87 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 3.78 (s, benzyl–CH₂), 2.67– 2.56 and 1.84–1.71 (2m, each 2H), 1.67–1.38 (m, 8H), 1.38–1.27 (m, 1H), 1.27–1.16 (m, 2H), 1.15–1.07 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 140.61, 128.35 (2C), 128.12 (2C), 126.84, 110.87, 64.74, 64.63, 54.06, 49.83, 44.50, 34.61, 29.08, 28.05, 25.74, 24.48, 23.89 ppm.

$2-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)$ ethylamine (9f)

19a [28] 5.04 g (27.8 mmol), LiAlH₄ 2.00 g (52.6 mmol), *THF* 100 cm³; refluxing time 4h; yield 4.73 g (92%, Ref. [28] 78%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 10:0.8:0.1): $R_f = 0.28$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3364$ (NH) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 186 (M⁺+1, 100); 169 (25);

¹H NMR: $\delta = 4.05-3.89$ (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 2.77 and 2.64 (2ddd, $J = 15.0$, 9.3, 5.3 and 15.0, 8.6, 6.9 Hz, each 1H, N-CH₂), 1.79-1.51 (m, 6H), 1.30-1.11 (m, 7H) ppm.

$3-(1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-yl)propylamine (9g)$

19b [13] 13.5 g (69.2 mmol), LiAlH₄ 4.00 g (105.3 mmol), *THF* 250 cm³; refluxing time 4 h; yield 13.6 g (99%, Ref. [13] 74%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:*Me*OH:NH₃ (25%) = 10:0.5:0.1): R_f = 0.21; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3370$ (NH) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 200 (M⁺+1, 100); 199 (M⁺, 58); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 4.00-3.88$ (m, OCH₂-CH₂O), 2.71–2.63 and 1.88–1.70 (2m, each 2H), 1.67–1.41 (m, 9H), 1.40– 1.16 (m, 3H), 1.15–1.04 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 110.87, 64.76, 64.65, 44.47, 42.55, 34.61, 31.83, 29.10, 25.27, 24.51, 23.87 ppm.

Benzyl[3-(1,4-dioxaspiro[4.4]non-6-yl)propyl]amine (10a, $C_{17}H_{25}NO_2$)

8a 15.05 g (52.1 mmol), LiAlH₄ 3.96 g (104.2 mmol), *THF* 250 cm³; yield 13.5 g (94%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:0.4$): $R_f = 0.18$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3313$ (NH) cm⁻¹; MS: a. (CI): m/z (%) = 276 $(M^{+}\bullet+1, 100)$, b. (EI): m/z (%) = 230 (59), 120 (43), 106 (6), 91 (100); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.34–7.29 (m, 4arom H), 7.27–7.21 (m, 1arom H), 3.94–3.82 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 3.79 (s, 2H), 2.69–2.58 (m, 2H), 2.10 (br s, NH), $1.95-1.83$ (m, $2H$), $1.79-1.43$ (m, $7H$), $1.37-1.16$ (m, $2H$) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 140.55$, 128.33 (2C), 128.10 (2C), 126.81, 118.17, 64.55, 64.40, 54.09, 49.80, 46.06, 35.69, 29.46, 28.80, 26.64, 20.63 ppm.

$BenzvI/2-(1,4-dioxaspiro/4.4|non-6-vl)ethvI|amine (10b, C₁₆H₂₃NO₂)$

8b 6.11 g (22.2 mmol), LiAlH₄ 1.69 g (44.8 mmol), *THF* 120 cm³; yield 5.79 g (98%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 10:0.3:0.1): $R_f = 0.35$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3313$ (NH) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 262 (M^{+•}+1, 2), 216 (21), 120 (57), 99 (15), 91 (100), 55 (16); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.30 (m, 4arom H), 7.26–7.20 (m, 1arom H), 3.92–3.81 (m, OCH₂CH₂O), 3.80 and 3.77 (2d, each $J = 13.1$ Hz, each 1H, N-benzyl–CH₂), 2.68 and 2.61 (2ddd, $J = 11.3$, 9.2, 3.6 and 11.3, 9.00, 6.4 Hz, each 1H), $2.00-1.91$ (m, 1H), 1.87 (ddt, $J = 12.1$, 7.9, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 1.78–1.55 (m, 5H), 1.45 (ddt, $J = 13.1$, 9.0, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 1.42–1.28 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 140.66, 128.33 (2C), 128.09 (2C), 126.80, 118.20, 64.54, 64.45, 54.04, 48.22, 44.11, 35.69, 29.65, 29.46, 20.71 ppm.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Bicyclic α -Aminonitriles 11–14

The amine 9 or 10 was dissolved in $2N$ HCl and H_2O , if necessary under warming up to 40–50°C. After the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at ambient temperature, an aqueous solution of KCN was dropwise added over a period of 20 min under vigorous stirring. Thereafter the reaction mixture exhibited a pH of 7–8; deviations of this value were corrected by addition of a small amount of 2N HCl or KCN. Stirring of the mixture was continued for 1 h. The oily product separated was dissolved in 100 cm³ of Et_2O . The aqueous layer was rendered alkaline by saturated Na₂CO₃ solution and extracted with $3\times100 \text{ cm}^3$ of *Et₂*O. The combined organic layers were washed with 200 cm³ of brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and evaporated in vacuo. The crude products were used for the next step without further purification. Attempted purification by FC caused decomposition and remarkable loss of the products.

$cis/trans-1-Benzyloctahydroindole-7a-carbonitrile (11a, C₁₆H₂₀N₂,$ diastereomeric mixture)

9a 10.31 g (37.5 mmol), 2 N HCl 37.5 cm³ (75.0 mmol), H₂O 300 cm³, KCN 4.88 g (75.0 mmol)/H₂O 10 cm³; yield 8.84 g (98%) light yellow oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane: $Et_2O = 8:2$): $R_f = 0.58$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 2214$ (CN) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 240 (M^{+•}, 11), 213 (32), 184 (23), 149 (16), 91 (100); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.35–7.22 (m, 5arom H), 4.03 and 3.42 (2d, each J = 12.8 Hz, each 0.3H), 4.00 and 3.36

(2d, each $J = 13.3$ Hz, each 0.7H), 3.08–2.99 and 2.46–2.38 (2m, each 1H), 2.34–2.28 (m, 0.7H), 2.23–2.09 (m, 1.7H), 1.91–1.76 (m, 2.2H), 1.74–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.55–1.36 (m, 3.4H), 1.36–1.21 (m, 1H) ppm; 13 C NMR: δ = 138.83, 137.67, 127.75, 127.48, 127.43, 127.41, 126.26, 126.17, 119.64, 117.23, 68.07, 63.53, 53.81, 53.14, 49.23, 48.73, 47.30, 42.42, 32.92, 29.14, 27.72, 26.60, 26.35, 25.37, 24.24, 23.42, 21.42, 18.54 ppm.

$cis/trans-1-Methyloctahydroindole-7a-carbonitrile (11b, C₁₀H₁₆N₂,$ diastereomeric mixture)

 $9b$ 1.39 g (7.0 mmol), 2 N HCl 7.0 cm³ (14.0 mmol), H₂O 50 cm³, KCN 910 mg (14.0 mmol)/H₂O 5 cm³; yield 1.06 g (92%) light yellow oil; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 2214$ (CN) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 137 (M⁺ HCN, 71), 109 (100); ¹H NMR: δ = 3.22–3.15 (m, 0.33H), 3.18 (dt, J = 9.5, 5.3 Hz, 0.66H), 2.52 and 2.45 (2ddd, $J = 11.0$, 9.5, 5.1 and 10.8, 10.0, 4.1 Hz, 0.66 and 0.33H), 2.37 and 2.31 (2s, 1 and 2H, N– CH3), 2.30–2.22 (m, 0.66H), 2.21–2.01 (m, 1.66H), 1.97–1.80 (m, 1.33H), 1.80–1.53 and 1.53–1.31 $(2m, each 3H), 1.31–1.18 (m, 1.33H)$ ppm; 13 C NMR: $\delta = 120.00, 117.44, 69.61, 65.25, 52.05, 52.91,$ 48.39, 43.23, 35.81, 35.38, 33.23, 29.64, 29.00, 27.60, 27.43, 26.43, 25.10, 24.26, 22.36, 19.31 ppm.

1-(1-Phenylethyl)octahydroindole-7a-carbonitrile (11c, $C_{17}H_{22}N_2$, mixture of 4 diastereomers)

9c 3.53 g (12.2 mmol), 2N HCl 12.2 cm³ (24.4 mmol), H₂O 100 cm³, KCN 1.59 g (24.4 mmol)/H₂O 10 cm³; yield 3.01 g (97%) pale yellow oil; TLC (*n*-hexane: $Et_2O = 8:2$): $R_f = 0.59$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 2214$ (CN) cm⁻¹; MS: a. (CI): m/z (%) = 228 (M⁺+1–HCN, 100), 124 (13), 105 (11), b. (EI): m/z $(\%) = 227 \ (M^{+}$ ⁺-HCN, 11), 105 (100); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.38 - 7.19 \ (m, 5arom H)$, 4.02 and 3.93 (2q, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 0.38 and 0.13H), 3.82–3.74 (m, 0.5H), 3.33 (ddd, $J = 9.9$, 9.1, 6.0 Hz, 0.38H), 3.00–2.93 (m, 0.5H), 2.88 (m, 0.38H), 2.83–2.80 (m, 0.13H), 2.75–2.63 (m, 0.5H), 2.57–2.50 (m, 0.13H), 2.38– 2.23 (m, 0.75H), 2.12–2.02 (m, 0.38H), 2.00–1.20 (m, 8.75H), 1.51, 1.46, 1.44, and 1.42 (4d, each $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1.13, 0.38, 0.38, and 1.13H, CH₃), 1.08 (tq, $J = 13.3$, 4.3 Hz, 0.38H), 0.93 and 0.83 (2dt, $J = 12.9$, 3.5 and 12.9, 4.0 Hz, each 0.38H) ppm.

$cis/trans-1-Isopropyloctahydroindole-7a-carbonitrile (11d, C₁₂H₂₀N₂,$ diastereomeric mixture)

9d 1.48 g (6.52 mmol), 2N HCl 6.5 cm³ (13.0 mmol), H₂O 80 cm³, KCN 845 mg (13.0 mmol)/H₂O 5 cm³; yield 1.2 g (96%) light yellow oil; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 2212$ (CN) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 165 $(M^{+}$ ⁻-HCN, 55), 150 (100), 122 (65); ¹H NMR: δ = 3.18–3.07 (m, 0.33H), 3.17 and 3.08 (2sept, $J = 6.7$ and 6.5 Hz, 0.66 and 0.33H, N–CH), 3.02–2.90 (m, 1.33H), 2.73 (ddd, $J = 10.9$, 9.7, 3.2 Hz, 0.33H), 2.35 (dt, $J = 12.5$, 3.2 Hz, 0.33H), 2.27–2.20, 2.13–2.01, and 1.93–1.67 (3m, 0.66, 1.33, and 2.33H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 123.81, 119.72, 66.76, 61.55, 49.67, 49.27, 45.88, 45.55, 44.23, 41.32, 35.64, 30.11, 27.71, 27.21, 27.17, 26.41, 25.15, 23.72, 23.56, 23.09, 22.49, 19.61, 19.26, 16.25 ppm.

trans-1-Benzyloctahydroquinoline-8a-carbonitrile (12a, $C_{17}H_{22}N_2$)

9e 10.23 g (35.4 mmol), 2 N HCl 35.4 cm³ (70.8 mmol), H₂O 300 cm³, KCN 4.60 g (70.8 mmol)/H₂O 10 cm³; yield 8.86 g (99%) light yellow oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane: $Et_2O = 8:2$): $R_f = 0.60$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu}$ = 2215 (CN) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 254 (M^{+•}, 3), 227 (100), 226 (61), 198 (36), 136 (10), 91 (90); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.34 - 7.28$ (m, 4arom H), 7.27–7.21 (m, 1H), 4.18 and 3.11 (2d, each $J = 13.9$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.80–2.74 (m, among others $J = 12.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.40 (br dt, $J = 13.1$, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (dt, $J = 12.2$, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.88–1.81, 1.79–1.72, and 1.74–1.63 (3m, each 1H), 1.63–1.34 $(m, 8H), 1.36-1.22$ $(m, 1H)$ ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 139.43, 128.42$ (2C), 128.39 (2C), 126.92, 117.97, 65.98, 54.73, 50.08, 44.94, 34.82, 30.38, 29.23, 25.28, 25.11, 23.52 ppm.

trans-Octahydroquinoline-8a-carbonitrile (12b, $C_{10}H_{16}N_2$)

 22 2.80 g (14.1 mmol), 2 N HCl 14.0 cm 3 (28.0 mmol), H₂O 300 cm 3 , KCN 1.83 mg (28.2 mmol)/H₂O 20 cm³. After stirring for 1 h the reaction mixture was decanted from lumpy precipitate possibly separated and rendered alkaline with $Na₂CO₃$. Further workup was accomplished following the general procedure. Yield 1.69 g (73%) light yellow oil; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 3322$ (NH), 2216 (CN) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 137 (M^{+•}–HCN, 100); ¹H NMR: δ = 3.10–2.97 and 1.94–1.87 (2m, 2 and 1H), 1.83–1.66 (m, 5H), 1.64–1.48 and 1.45–1.25 (2m, each 4H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 120.81, 61.18, 44.35, 43.65, 37.39, 29.75, 28.83, 25.53, 25.45, 23.00 ppm.

cis/trans-1-Benzyloctahydro-1-pyrindine-7a-carbonitrile (13, $C_{16}H_{20}N_2$, diastereomeric mixture)

10a 9.40 g (34.2 mmol), 2 N HCl 34.2 cm³ (68.4 mmol), H₂O 400 cm³, KCN 4.45 g (68.4 mmol)/H₂O 10 cm³; yield 8.02 g (98%) light yellow oil, which crystallized during several hours, mp 77° C (after washing with a small volume of ice cold Et_2O ; TLC (Al₂O₃, n-hexane: $Et_2O = 8:1$): $R_f = 0.52$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 2217$ (CN) cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 239 (M⁺⁺-1, 5), 213 (20), 212 (26), 91 (100); H NMR: δ = 7.35–7.22 (m, 5arom H), 4.00 and 3.16 (2d, each J = 14.4 Hz, each 0.66H), 3.95 and 3.30 (2d, each $J = 13.3$ Hz, each 0.33H), 2.76–2.72 (m, 0.33H), 2.71–2.66 (m, 0.66H), 2.40–2.37 (m, 1.66H), 2.25–2.16 (m, 1H), 2.04–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.74–1.63 (m, 6H), 1.48–1.37 (m, 1.33H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 139.05$, 138.36, 18.70, 128.36, 128.30, 128.13, 127.14, 126.98, 119.52, 118.44, 70.38, 64.56, 58.02, 56.18, 49.18, 48.94, 48.84, 45.64, 37.16, 36.14, 27.42, 26.36, 26.26, 25.32, 22.99, 21.78, 20.41, 19.07 ppm.

cis-1-Benzylhexahydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrole-6a-carbonitrile $(14, C_{15}H_{18}N_2)$

10b 4.23 g (26.3 mmol), 2 N HCl 26.3 cm³ (52.6 mmol), H₂O 220 cm³, KCN 3.42 g (52.6 mmol)/H₂O 10 cm³; yield 5.71 g (98%) light yellow oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane: $Et_2O = 8:1$): $R_f = 0.46$; IR (film): $\bar{\nu} = 2217 \text{ (CN) cm}^{-1}$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 226 (M⁺, 12), 225 (M⁺-1, 23), 91 (100), 84 (23), 57 (27); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.33–7.30 (m, 4arom H), 7.29–7.23 (m, 1arom H), 3.95 and 3.54 (2d, each J = 13.4 Hz, each 1H, N-benzyl–CH₂), 3.03–2.92 (m, 1H), 2.87 (m, 1H), 2.36 (ddd, $J = 11.0$, 9.6, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (br ddd, $J = 12.3$, 9.6, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.05–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.94–1.80 and 1.80–1.69 (2m, each 2H), 1.59– 1.50 (m, 1H), 1.38 (ddt, $J = 12.3$, 11.0, 7.3 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 138.80$, 128.44 (2C), 128.33 (2C), 127.14, 120.73, 69.68, 55.04, 52.51, 50.94, 37.70, 33.72, 31.15, 24.86 ppm.

Angularly Substituted Compounds 15–18

A. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 7a-Methyl- and 7a-Aryl-derivatives 15a–15f, 15i, 15k, 16b, 16c, 17b, and 18

The Grignard reagent was prepared from Mg and the corresponding halogenide in anhydrous THF and the reaction was completed by heating the mixture to reflux (temperature of the oil bath 70°C). A solution of the aminonitrile 11–14 in toluene was slowly added over a period of 45 min under vigorous stirring and N₂. After the addition was complete the temperature of the heating bath was raised to 130°C and the mixture was stirred for additional 16h, then quenched with 50 cm^3 of saturated NH₄Cl solution under ice cooling and diluted with 300 cm³ of Et_2O and 200 cm³ of 1N HCl. The aqueous layer was separated and the organic phase was extracted with $3 \times 100 \text{ cm}^3$ of 0.5 N HCl. The combined aqueous layers were rendered alkaline with saturated Na₂CO₃ solution and extracted with $3\times200 \text{ cm}^3$ of Et_2O . After drying the ether extracts with Na_2SO_4 and removing the solvent in vacuo the crude product was purified by FC (neutral Al_2O_3 ; for eluent see TLC).

cis-1-Benzyl-7a-phenyloctahydroindole (15a, $C_{21}H_{25}N$)

Mg 1.20 g (50.0 mmol)/*THF* 5 cm³, bromobenzene 7.85 g (50.0 mmol)/*THF* 30 cm³, 11a 3.0 g (12.5 mmol) /toluene 50 cm^3 ; yield 909 mg (25%) colorless crystals, mp 73°C (MeOH); TLC $(A_2O_3, n\text{-hexane}: Et_2O = 8:1): R_f = 0.78; \text{ MS (EI): } m/z \ (\%) = 291 \ (\text{M}^{+}\text{°}, 36), 248 \ (100), 214 \ (15),$ 91 (77); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.70–7.67 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.2 Hz, 2arom H), 7.37–7.32 (m, 2arom H), 7.25–7.13 (m, 6arom H), 3.45 and 3.14 (2d, each $J = 13.0$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 3.02 (dt, $J = 9.1$, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.57–2.48 (m, 2H), 2.14–2.08 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.78 (m, 3H), 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.63–1.41 (m, 4H), $1.35-1.25$ (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 145.61$, 140.99, 128.42 (2C), 128.39 (2C), 128.11 (2C), 127.87 (2C), 126.52, 126.49, 66.49, 52.67, 48.87, 46.67, 25.93, 23.50, 23.74, 22.87, 20.26 ppm.

Table 1. Crystallographic data of 15a^a

^a Further details of the crystal structure determination are available from Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, 12 Union Road, GB Cambridge CB21EZ quoting the deposition number CCDC 169365 and the complete literature source (E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk)

Angularly Substituted Perhydro N-Heterocycles by Bruylants Reaction 573

cis-1-Benzyl-7a-(3-methoxyphenyl)octahydroindole (15b, $C_{22}H_{27}NO$)

Mg 2.78 g (116.0 mmol)/*THF* 10 cm³, 3-bromoanisol 21.69 g (116.0 mmol)/*THF* 70 cm³, **11a** 6.96 g (29.0 mmol)/toluene 50 cm³; yield 1.56 g (17%) colourless oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane: $Et_2O = 9:1$): $R_f = 0.51$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 321 (M^{+•}, 38), 278 (100), 214 (17); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.28-7.15$ (m, 8arom H), $6.79-6.73$ (m, 1arom H), 3.83 (s, OCH₃), 3.48 and 3.15 (2d, each $J = 13.1$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl– $CH₂$), 3.02 (dt, $J = 9.2$, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.47 (m, 2H), 2.10–2.05 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.78 (m, 3H), 1.72– 1.56 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.43 (m, 3H), 1.37–1.25 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 159.48, 147.71, 140.99, 128.61, 128.38 (2C), 128.10 (2C), 126.50, 120.93, 114.52, 111.55, 66.53, 55.24, 52.64, 48.80, 46.69, 25.91, 25.50, 23.75, 23.08, 20.27 ppm.

cis-1-Benzyl-7a-(4-methoxyphenyl)octahydroindole (15c, $C_{22}H_{27}NO$)

Mg $0.53 g$ (21.8 mmol)/THF 5 cm³, 4-bromoanisol 4.10 g (21.8 mmol)/THF 20 cm³, 11a 1.31 g (5.45 mmol) /toluene 20 cm³; yield 1.56 g (17%) colorless oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane: $Et_2O = 9:1$): $R_f = 0.51$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 321 (M⁺, 29), 278 (100), 214 (7); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.59$ (m, 2arom H), $7.25-7.13$ (m, 5arom H), 6.89 (br t, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2arom H), 3.81 (s, OCH₃), 3.45 and 3.10 (2d, each $J = 13.0$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 3.00 (dt, $J = 9.3$, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.54–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.09–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.93–1.77 (m, 3H), 1.67–1.42 (m, 5H), 1.35–1.25 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 158.17, 141.06, 137.29, 129.48 (2C), 128.43 (2C), 128.11 (2C), 126.49, 113.15 (2C), 66.06, 55.23, 52.58, 48.74, 46.41, 25.74, 25.42, 23.76, 22.74, 20.27 ppm.

cis-1-Benzyl-7a-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)octahydroindole (15d, $C_{23}H_{29}NO_2$)

Mg 2.88 g $(120.0 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 10 cm³, 4-bromo-1,2-dimethoxybenzene 25.04 g $(120.0 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 80 cm³, 11a 7.23 g (30.0 mmol)/toluene 50 cm³; yield 1.26 g (12%) colourless oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, nhexane: $Et_2O = 7:3$: $R_f = 0.38$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 351 (M⁺, 25), 308 (100), 214 (11), 91 (65); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.33 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1arom H), 7.25–7.15 (m, 5arom H), 7.14 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1arom H), 6.82 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1arom H), 3.93 and 3.88 (2s, 2OCH₃), 3.47 and 3.10 (2d, each $J = 13.1$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 3.04 (dt, $J = 8.9$, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.53–2.43 (m, 2H), 2.09–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.93–1.80 (m, 3H), 1.68–1.44 (m, 5H), 1.37–1.25 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 148.64, 147.63, 140.82, 138.07, 128.24 (2C), 127.94 (2C), 126.36, 120.61, 111.65, 109.85, 66.29, 55.93, 55.77, 52.50, 48.65, 46.57, 25.71, 25.39, 23.86, 22.93, 20.17 ppm.

cis-1-Benzyl-7a-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)octahydroindole (15e, $C_{22}H_{25}NO_2$)

Mg 2.88 g (120.0 mmol)/*THF* 10 cm³, 4-bromo-1,2-methylenedioxybenzene 24.87 g (120.0 mmol)/ THF 80 cm³, 11a 7.23 g (30.0 mmol)/toluene 50 cm³; yield 1.43 g (14%) colourless crystals, mp 96°C (MeOH); TLC (Al₂O₃, n-hexane:Et₂O = 9:1): R_f = 0.49; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 335 (M⁺⁺, 30), 292 (100) , 214 (10) , 91 (80) ; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.26 - 7.14$ (m, 6arom H), 7.09 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 2.0 Hz, 1arom H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.95 and 5.94 (2d, each $J = 2.0$ Hz, each 1H, OCH₂O), 3.47 and 3.11 (2d, each $J = 13.4$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 3.00 (dt, $J = 9.3$, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.50–2.41 (m, 2H), 2.05–1.98 $(m, 1H)$, 1.93–1.77 $(m, 3H)$, 1.66–1.42 $(m, 5H)$, 1.36–1.25 $(m, 1H)$ ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 147.57$, 145.96, 140.78, 139.55, 128.28 (2C), 128.02 (2C), 126.42, 121.50, 108.81, 107.08, 100.80, 66.39, 52.47, 48.66, 46.61, 25.67, 25.36, 23.70, 23.09, 20.16 ppm.

cis-1-Methyl-7a-phenyloctahydroindole (15f)

Mg 339 mg (16.4 mmol)/*THF* 3 cm³, bromobenzene 2.61 g (16.6 mmol)/*THF* 10 cm³, 11b 682 mg (4.16 mmol) /toluene 20 cm^3 ; yield $306 \text{ mg } (34\%)$ colorless powder, mp 189° C (Ref. [5] oil, bp $115-$ 120°C/15.6 Pa); TLC (Al₂O₃, n-hexane: $Et_2O = 10:1$): $R_f = 0.66$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 215 (M^{+•}, 22),

172 (100), 138 (20); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.55 - 7.52$ and 7.35-7.31 (2m, each 2arom H), 7.22 (tt, $J = 7.2$, 1.5 Hz, 1arom H), 3.21 (dt, $J = 9.5$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.68–2.57 and 2.10–1.94 (2m, each 2H), 1.98 (s, N– CH₃), 1.90–1.80 and 1.77–1.71 (2m, each 1H), 1.55–1.25 (m, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 127.90 (2C), 127.87 (2C), 126.42, 51.66, 45.58, 33.90, 25.88, 25.46, 23.28, 21.63, 20.44 ppm.

cis-(1RS)-7a-Methyl-1-(1-phenylethyl)octahydroindole (15i, $C_{17}H_{25}N$, diastereomeric mixture)

Preparation according to **15k**: **11c** 330 mg $(1.3 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 5 cm³, 3 M CH₃MgBr in Et₂O 2 cm³ (6 mmol). The mixture was separated by FC (silica gel, $EtOAc:MeOH:NH₃$ (25%) = 10:0.7:0.2) giving the diastereomers cis-A and cis-B.

Diastereomer cis-A. Yield 120 mg (38%) colourless oil; TLC (EtOAc:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 10:0.2:0.1): $R_f = 0.56$; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 244 (M^{+•}+1, 100); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.35$ (br d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2arom H), 7.27 (br t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2arom H), 7.17 (tt, $J = 7.2$, 1.6 Hz, 1arom H), 3.92 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, N– CH), 2.84 and 2.69 (2dt, $J = 9.5$, 4.6 and 9.5, 5.4 Hz, each 1H), 1.85 and 1.68 (2m, 2 and 6H), 1.39 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, CH₃–C–Ph), 1.31–1.20 and 1.20–1.12 (each m, 2 and 1H), 1.15 (s, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 146.69, 127.99$ (2C), 127.52 (2C), 126.21, 61.52, 55.67, 45.12, 45.06, 31.11, 27.83, 26.46, 24.59, 23.04, 22.19, 21.38 ppm.

Diastereomer cis-B. Yield 98 mg (31%) colourless oil; TLC: $R_f = 0.27$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 243 $(M^{+}$, 8), 105 (43), 57 (100); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.37 (br d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2arom H), 7.27 (br t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2arom H), $7.21 - 7.16$ (m, 1arom H), 3.88 (q, $J = 6.7$ Hz, N–CH), $3.00 - 2.91$ and $2.83 - 2.76$ (2m, each 1H), 1.85–1.71, 1.64–1.48, and 1.43–1.30 (3m, 2, 5 and 3H), 1.39 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, CH₃–C-Ph), 1.22–1.11 (m, 1H), 0.77 (s, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 147.32$, 127.91 (2C), 127.49 (2C), 126.25, 61.45, 55.77, 45.35, 44.48, 29.22, 26.12, 25.02, 24.28, 22.60, 21.63, 21.45 ppm.

cis-1-Benzyl-7a-methyloctahydroindole (15k)

To a solution of 230 mg (0.96 mmol) of 11a in 5 cm^3 of anhydrous THF was added 2 cm^3 (6 mmol) of $3 M CH_3MgBr (Et_2O)$ under N₂ and stirring. After stirring 8 h at ambient temperature the reaction was quenched under ice cooling with 5 cm³ of saturated NH₄Cl solution, diluted with 15 cm³ of Et_2O , and extracted with $3\times10 \text{ cm}^3$ of 0.5 N HCl. The combined aqueous layers were rendered alkaline with saturated Na₂CO₃ solution and extracted with $3\times20 \text{ cm}^3$ of Et₂O. The combined ether layers were dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by FC (silica gel, for eluent see TLC). Yield 176 mg (80%, Ref. [21] 66%, diastereomeric mixture), colourless oil; TLC $(CH_2Cl_2:MeOH = 10:0.3): R_f = 0.33; MS$ (EI): m/z (%) = 229 (M⁺, 17), 214 (26), 186 (54), 91 (100) ; ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.28 - 7.25$ and 7.23–7.19 (2m, each 2arom H), 7.12 (tt, $J = 7.1$, 1.7 Hz, 1arom H), 3.54 and 3.39 (2d, each $J = 13.3$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.60–2.51 and 1.79–1.69 (2m, each 2H), 1.62–1.32 (m, 6H), 1.32–1.15 (m, 3H), 1.01 (s, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 141.23, 128.43 (2C), 128.09 (2C), 126.39, 60.81, 52.63, 49.30, 44.18, 29.19, 27.57, 26.24, 22.91, 22.30, 2.00 ppm.

cis-1-Benzyl-8a-phenyldecahydroquinoline $(16b, C_{22}H_{27}N)$

Mg 211 mg $(8.8 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 2 cm³, bromobenzene 1.38 g $(8.8 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 10 cm³, 12a 560 mg (2.2 mmol) /toluene 5 cm³; yield 114 mg (17%) colourless oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane: Et₂O = 9:1): $R_f = 0.71$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 305 (M^{+•}, 26), 262 (100), 228 (18), 91 (62); ¹H NMR (45°C): $\delta = 7.72$ $(d, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{arom H})$, 7.32 (br t, $J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$, 2arom H), 7.20–7.14 and 7.13–7.06 (2m, each 3arom H), 3.45 and 2.98 (2d, each $J = 13.6$ Hz, 2H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.59 (br dt, $J = 11.9$, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.42– 2.35 (m, among others $J = 11.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.33–2.26 (m, among others $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.16 (ddd, $J = 14.0, 12.5, 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.06–1.99 (m, among others $J = 14.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.92–1.71 (m, 3H), 1.70– 1.61, 1.59–1.45, and 1.37–1.26 (3m, each 2H), 1.18 (tt, $J = 12.5$, 4.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (45°C):

 δ = 146.80, 141.67, 128.82 (2C), 128.16 (2C), 127.83 (2C), 127.59 (2C), 126.24, 126.21, 62.85, 54.08, 45.79, 42.19, 28.99, 26.70, 26.09, 23.81, 20.21, 19.88 (br) ppm.

cis-1-Benzyl-8a-(3-methoxyphenyl)decahydroquinoline (16c, $C_{23}H_{29}NO$)

Mg 2.30 g (96.0 mmol)/*THF* 10 cm³, 3-bromoanisol 17.95 g (96.0 mmol)/*THF* 60 cm³, 12a 6.10 g (24.0 mmol) /toluene 50 cm^3 ; yield 884 mg (11%) colourless oil; TLC $(Al_2O_3, n-1)$ hexane: $Et_2O = 9.5(0.5)$: $R_f = 0.65$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 335 (M⁺, 30), 292 (100), 244 (3), 228 (23), 201 (11), 91 (56); ¹H NMR (45°C): $\delta = 7.35 - 7.27$ (m, 2arom H), 7.23 (br t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1arom H), $7.20-7.13$ and $7.12-7.07$ (2m, 2 and 3arom H), 6.76 (br dd, $J = 7.8$, 2.0 Hz, 1arom H), 3.81 (s, OCH₃), 3.48 and 3.00 (2dd, each $J = 13.7$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.64–2.56, 2.42–2.33, 2.29– 2.21, 2.20–2.08, and 2.03–1.93 (5m, each 1H), 1.91–1.72 (m, 3H), 1.70–1.60 and 1.59–1.43 (2m, each 2H), 1.38–1.33 (m, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (45°C): $\delta = 159.32$, 148.78, 141.69, 128.32, 128.14 (2C), 127.86 (2C), 126.20, 121.49, 115.50, 111.17, 62.92, 55.23, 54.11, 45.75, 42.22, 28.96, 26.65, 26.04, 23.78, 20.18 (2C) ppm.

cis-1-Benzyl-7a-(3-methoxyphenyl)octahydro[1]pyrindine (17b, $C_{22}H_{27}NO$)

Mg 3.20 g (133.2 mmol)/*THF* 10 cm³, 3-bromoanisol 24.91 g (133.2 mmol)/*THF* 60 cm³, 13 8.00 g (33.3 mmol)/toluene 50 cm³; yield 21 mg (0.2%) colourless oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane: $Et_2O = 9:1$): $R_f = 0.66$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 321 (M^{+•}, 34), 292 (100), 278 (10), 214 (13), 201 (11), 91 (62); ¹H NMR: δ = 7.34 (br t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1arom H), 7.28–7.20 (br m, 6arom H), 7.19–7.13 (m, 1arom H), 6.74 (ddd, $J = 7.7, 2.5, 1.3$ Hz, 1arom H), 3.82 (s, OCH₃), 3.51 and 2.95 (2d, each $J = 13.7$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.69 (ddt, $J = 11.0$, 3.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.01–1.86 (m, 4H), 1.66–1.55 $(m, 3H), 1.45-1.36$ $(m, 1H), 1.35-1.15$ $(m, 2H)$ ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 159.24, 150.84, 140.92, 128.33,$ 128.22 (2C), 127.92 (2C), 126.31, 119.91, 113.50, 111.31, 72.48, 56.60, 55.16, 47.16, 45.82, 28.26, 28.22, 25.03, 21.24, 20.68 ppm. Hydrochlorid (17b-HCl): colourless, amorphous solid, mp 254° C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.03$ (br s, N⁺H), 7.37–7.28 (m, 8arom H), 6.97 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 2.0 Hz, $1a$ rom H), 4.17 (d, $J = 12.9$ Hz, $1H$), 4.03 (br s, OCH₃), $3.65-3.58$ and $3.48-3.41$ (2m, each 1H), $2.99-$ 2.87 (m, 2H), 2.78 (dt, $J = 12.4$, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.52–2.44, 2.28, and 2.06–1.93, (3m, each 1H), 1.86– 1.76 and 1.46–1.21 (2m, each 3H) ppm.

cis-1-Benzyl-6a-(3-methoxyphenyl)octahydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrole $(18, C_{21}H_{25}NO)$

Mg 1.41 g $(58.9 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 10 cm³, 3-bromoanisol 11.02 g $(58.92 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 30 cm³, 14 3.33 g (14.73 mmol) /toluene 35 cm³; yield 3.53 g (78%) colourless oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane: $Et_2O =$ 9.5:0.5): $R_f = 0.61$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 307 (M⁺, 33), 278 (100), 264 (20), 91 (60); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.30 - 7.22$ (m, 5arom H), 7.21–7.15 (m, 1arom H), 7.15 (br t, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1arom H), 7.09 (br dt, $J = 7.7, 0.7$ Hz, 1arom H), 6.76 (br dd, $J = 8.1, 2.6$ Hz, 1arom H), 3.80 (s, OCH₃), 3.44 and 3.23 (2d, each $J = 13.7$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.73 (ddd, $J = 9.0, 7.1, 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.62–2.54 and 2.15– 2.04 (2m, each 2H), 2.00–1.90 (m, 3H), 1.79–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.46–1.36 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 159.34, 149.36, 140.95, 128.66, 128.27 \text{ (2C)}, 128.02 \text{ (2C)}, 126.41, 119.48, 113.23, 111.00, 77.58,$ 55.14, 53.64, 51.91, 49.79, 34.52, 33.21, 31.84, 26.21 ppm.

B. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 7a-Benzyl-derivatives 15g, 15h, 15l, 16a, and 17a

The *Grignard* reagent was prepared from Mg and benzylbromide in anhydrous THF and the reaction was completed by heating the mixture to reflux (temperature of the oil bath 70°C). A solution of the

corresponding aminonitrile 11–14 in THF was slowly added over a period of 45 min at 70 $^{\circ}$ C under vigorous stirring and N_2 . After the addition was complete the mixture was stirred for an additional 16 h at the same temperature, then quenched with 5 cm^3 of saturated NH₄Cl solution under ice cooling and diluted with 30 cm³ of Et_2O and 20 cm³ of 1 N HCl. The aqueous layer was separated and the organic phase was extracted with 3×15 cm³ 0.5 N HCl. The combined aqueous layers were rendered alkaline with saturated Na₂CO₃ solution and extracted with $3\times25 \text{ cm}^3$ of *Et*₂O. After drying the ether extracts with $Na₂SO₄$ and removing the solvent in vacuo the crude product was crystallized from MeOH. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with a small volume of ice cold $MeOH$, and dried in vacuo. If the crystallization failed to occur or the concentrated mother liquor afforded no further product, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue purified by FC (for eluents see the corresponding TLC).

cis-(1RS)-7a-Benzyl-1-(1-phenylethyl)octahydroindole (15g, $C_{23}H_{29}N$, diastereomeric mixture)

Mg 567.0 mg (23.6 mmol)/*THF* 3 cm³, benzylbromide 4.04 g (23.6 mmol)/*THF* 10 cm³, 11c 1.50 g $(5.9 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 5 cm³. The residue of the ether extracts was purified by FC (Al₂O₃, nhexane: $Et_2O = 9.5:0.5$). Yield 1.19 g (63%) colourless oil; TLC (Al₂O₃, eluent see FC): $R_f = 0.79$. Partial separation of the diastereomers by FC (eluent see TLC, below).

Diastereomer A. Yield 72 mg; TLC (Al₂O₃, n-hexane: $Et_2O = 10:0.1$): $R_f = 0.53$; MS (CI): m/z $(\%) = 320 \ (M^{+}$ ⁺+1, 63), 228 (100); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.41 - 7.37$ and 7.34–7.28 (2m, each 2arom H), 7.24– 7.15 and 7.09–7.05 (2m, 4 and 2arom H), 4.21 (q, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.10 and 2.82 (2dt, $J = 9.5$, 5.6 and 9.5, 4.5 Hz, each 1H), 2.64 and 2.58 (2d, each $J = 13.8$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 1.93–1.83, 1.83– 1.75, and 1.74–1.63 (3m, 2, 1, and 1H), 1.60–1.36 (m, 6H), 1.49 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, CH₃), 1.21–1.11 (m, 1H) ppm.

Diastereomer B. Yield 53 mg; TLC: $R_f = 0.46$; MS: same fragmentation and intensities as given for A; ¹H-NMR: δ = 7.41–7.14 (m, 10arom H), 4.22 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.11–3.01 (m, 2H), 2.88 and 2.61 (2d, each $J = 13.2$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.09–1.96 and 1.85–1.75 (2m, each 1H), 1.51–1.24 (m, 7H), 1.48 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, CH₃), 1.16–1.06 and 0.97–0.79 (2m, each 1H) ppm.

cis-7a-Benzyl-1-isopropyloctahydroindole (15h, $C_{18}H_{27}N$)

Mg 288.0 mg (12.0 mmol)/*THF* 3 cm³, benzylbromide 2.05 g (12.0 mmol)/*THF* 10 cm³, 11d 576 mg $(3.0 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 5 cm³. Yield 555 mg (72%) colourless crystals; TLC (*n*-hexane: $Et_2O = 10:1$): $R_f = 0.66$; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 258 (M^{+•}+1, 58), 166 (100); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.26-7.21$ and 7.19-7.14 (2m, 2 and 3arom H), 3.30 (sept, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.92–2.89 and 2.89–2.83 (2m, each 1H), 2.74 and 2.58 (2d, each $J = 13.5$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 1.85–1.76 and 1.75–1.68 (2m, each 1H), 1.59 (ddd, $J = 13.0$, 8.3, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.54–1.24 and 1.16–1.05 (2m, 7 and 1H), 1.10 and 1.06 (2d, each $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2CH₃) ppm.

cis-1,7a-Dibenzyloctahydroindole (15l, $C_{22}H_{27}N$)

Mg 96.0 mg (4.0 mmol)/THF 3 cm³, benzylbromide 684 mg (4.0 mmol)/THF 10 cm³, 11a 240 mg $(1.0 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 5 cm³. Yield 253 mg (83%) colourless crystals, mp 74°C; TLC (Al₂O₃, *n*-hexane): $R_f = 0.20$; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 306 (M^{+•}+1, 66), 214 (100), 91 (9); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.39 - 7.34$ and 7.33–7.18 (2m, 2 and 8arom H), 3.84 and 3.64 (2d, each $J = 13.8$ Hz, each 1H, N-benzyl–CH₂), 2.79 and 2.73 (2d, $J = 13.9$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.67 and 2.61 (2dt, $J = 9.8$, 4.8 and 9.8, 5.2 Hz, each 1H), 1.95–1.74, 1.74–1.33, and 1.29–1.18 (3m, 2, 8, and 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 140.82, 139.10,

130.86 (2C), 128.16 (2C), 128.09 (2C), 127.62 (2C), 126.35, 125.78, 64.25, 51.94, 49.00, 38.48, 38.42, 27.71, 27.53, 26.18, 22.72, 22.15 ppm.

cis- and trans-1,8a-Dibenzyldecahydroquinoline $(16a, C_{23}H_{29}N)$

Mg 151.0 mg $(6.3 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 3 cm³, benzylbromide 1.08 g $(6.3 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 10 cm³, 12a 400 mg $(1.6 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 10 cm³. The mother liquor was evaporated and the *trans* stereomer was separated from the residue by FC.

cis-16a. Yield 382 mg (76%) colourless crystals, mp 99°C; TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:0.5$): $R_f = 0.73$; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 320 (M⁺+1, 45), 228 (100), 91 (17); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.40$ (br d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2arom H), 7.29 (br t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2arom H), 7.27–7.16 (m, 6arom H), 4.08 and 3.20 (2d, each $J = 14.1$ Hz, each 1H, N-benzyl–CH₂), 3.40 and 2.55 (2d, each $J = 13.3$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.65 (dt, $J = 12.2$, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.62–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.28–2.08 and 2.00–1.94 (2m, 2 and 1H), 1.89–1.78, 1.73–1.63, and 1.58–1.51 (3m, 1, 2, and 1H), 1.41–1.33, 1.33–1.21, and 1.20–1.00 (3m, each 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 141.76, 139.98, 130.83$ (2C), 128.20 (2C), 128.10 (2C), 127.79 (2C), 126.30, 125.67, 59.16, 52.22, 46.12, 36.35, 35.30, 33.24 (br), 27.41, 26.66, 26.55 (br), 21.40 (br), 21.11 ppm.

trans-16a. Yield 30 mg (6%) colourless crystals, mp 86°C; TLC (CH₂Cl₂: $MeOH = 10:0.5$): $R_f = 0.46$; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 320 (M⁺+1, 100), 228 (72); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.37-7.08$ (m, 10arom H), 3.55 and 2.91 (2d, each $J = 15.4$ Hz, each 1H, N-benzyl–CH₂), 3.35 and 2.79 (2d, each $J = 13.9$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 3.19 (br t, $J = 10.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.52 and 2.24–2.15 (2m, each 1H), 1.86–1.53 and 1.53–1.12 (2m, 7 and 5H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 142.21, 139.30, 130.11 (2C), 127.10 (2C), 126.82 (2C), 126.40 (2C), 124.87 (2C), 59.05, 51.57, 46.32, 45.45, 32.32, 28.99, 28.51, 26.50, 25.51, 24.58, 22.23 ppm.

cis-1,7a-Dibenzyloctahydro-1-pyrindine (17a, $C_{22}H_{27}N$)

Mg 266.0 mg $(11.1 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 3 cm³, benzylbromide 1.90 g $(11.1 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 10 cm³, 13 665 mg $(2.8 \text{ mmol})/THF$ 10 cm³. Yield 684 mg (78%) colourless crystals, mp 119°C; TLC $(n\text{-hexane}: Et_2O = 10:0.5): R_f = 0.90; \text{ MS (CI): } m/z \text{ (\%)} = 306 \text{ (M}^+ + 1, 100), 214 \text{ (15)}; ^1\text{H NMR:}$ δ = 7.37–7.17 (m, 10arom H), 4.06 and 3.24 (2d, each J = 14.6 Hz, each 1H, N-benzyl–CH₂), 3.14 and 2.73 (2d, each, $J = 13.2$ Hz, C-benzyl–CH₂), 2.58–2.46 (m, 2H), 1.99–1.67 and 1.59–1.32 (2m, 6) and 5H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 141.79$, 139.82, 130.72 (2C), 128.10 (2C), 128.00 (2C), 127.81 (2C), 126.30, 125.60, 66.76, 54.08, 46.09, 39.23, 33.48, 33.04, 26.92, 23.26, 21.24, 20.79 ppm.

Hydrogenolyses

The mixture of the benzylamine $15-17$ (1 molequiv.), MeOH, 2N HCl (1 molequiv.), and 10% Pd–C was hydrogenated for 3 h at 50°C and 6.5×10^{6} Pa initial pressure of H₂. After centrifuging off the catalyst and washing with 30 cm^3 of MeOH the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in 10 cm³ of Et_2O and extracted with 1 N HCl (3×10 cm³). The combined aqueous layers were rendered alkaline with 32% NaOH solution and extracted with $Et_2O(3\times10 \text{ cm}^3)$. After drying the combined ether extracts ($Na₂SO₄$) the solvent was removed in vacuo. If necessary, the products were purified by FC (eluents were the same as used in TLC).

cis-7a-Methyloctahydroindole (15m)

15i or **15k** 58 or 61 mg (0.25 mmol), $2N$ HCl 0.15 cm³ (0.3 mmol), Pd–C 7 mg, MeOH 5 cm³; yield 30 mg (86%) slight yellowish oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 100:7:1): $R_f = 0.34$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 139 (M^{+•}, 17), 124 (38), 96 (100); ¹H NMR data are completely in line with those published in Ref. [21]; ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 59.71$, 44.38, 42.72, 33.69, 29.99, 26.73, 26.19, 22.92, 22.04 ppm.

cis-8a-Benzyldecahydroquinoliniumchlorid (16d-HCl, $C_{16}H_{24}CIN$)

16a 100 mg (0.31 mmol), $2N$ HCl 0.15 cm³ (0.3 mmol), Pd–C 10 mg, MeOH 5 cm³; the residue was dissolved in 5 cm^3 of Et_2O and ether saturated with HCl. After removing the solvent the residue was crystallized from EtOAc. Yield 75 mg (91%) colourless crystals, mp 231°C (EtOAc); TLC $(CH_2Cl_2: MeOH:NH_3 (25%) = 100:9:0.5): R_f = 0.39; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 230 (100), 138 (63);$ ¹H NMR (50°C): $\delta = 9.37$ and 8.65 (2br s, each 1H, N⁺H₂), 7.36–7.25 (m, 5arom H), 3.54 and 3.13 $(2\text{br } d, \text{each } J = 13.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{each } 1\text{ H}, \text{benzyl–CH}_2$), 3.46–3.31 (m, 2H), 2.21–1.90, 1.86–1.68, 1.65–1.48, and 1.15–1.03 (4m, 5, 3, 4, and 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 135.00, 131.11 and 128.52 (each 2C), 127.26, 60.35, 39.96, 39.82, 34.16, 31.50 (br), 26.29, 24.21, 23.82 (br), 20.63, 18.08 (br) ppm.

cis-8a-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-decahydroquinoline (16e, $C_{16}H_{23}NO$)

16c 533 mg (1.59 mmol), 2N HCl 0.8 cm³, Pd–C 65 mg, MeOH 8 cm³; yield 372 mg (95%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 100:5:1): $R_f = 0.21$; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 245 (M^{+•}, 24), 202 $(100);$ ¹H NMR (45°C): $\delta = 7.26$ (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1arom H), 7.10–7.05 (m, 2H), 6.77–6.73 (ddd, $J = 8.0$, 2.6, 0.9 Hz, 1arom H), 3.81 (s, OCH3), 2.85–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.74–2.66, 2.45–2.31, 2.07–1.97, 1.82– 1.25, and 1.20–1.11 (5m, 1, 1, 1, 11, and 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (40°C): $\delta = 160.13$, 150.23, 129.34, 118.42, 112.62, 110.66, 58.39, 55.26, 43.09 (br), 42.17, 35.90, 27.27, 26.74, 25.98, 22.48, 21.31 ppm.

cis-7a-Benzyloctahydro-1-pyrindine (17c, $C_{15}H_{21}N$)

17a 90 mg (0.3 mmol), 2 N HCl 0.15 cm³ (0.3 mmol), Pd–C 9 mg, MeOH 5 cm³; yield 58 mg (91%) colourless oil; TLC (CH₂Cl₂:MeOH:NH₃ (25%) = 100:9:0.5); $R_f = 0.39$; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 216 $(M^{+}\bullet+1, 100)$, 124 (48); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.31 - 7.27, 7.24 - 7.20$, and 7.19–7.17 (3m, 2, 1, and 2arom H), 3.19 and 2.56 (2d, each $J = 13.3$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 2.97 (ddd, $J = 12.1$, 10.6, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.85–2.81 (m, 1H), 2.55 (br s, NH), 1.90–1.68, 1.67–1.53, and 1.44–1.37 (3m, 6, 3, and 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 138.59, 130.04, 128.05, 126.06, 66.81, 41.76, 41.04, 40.68, 37.40, 27.92, 23.63, 21.10, 20.46 ppm; 17c-HCl: mp 185.5°C (*EtOAc*/*Et*₂O).

trans-1-Benzoyloctahydroquinoline-8a-carbonitrile $(20, C_{17}H_{20}N_2O)$

To a solution of 200 mg of 12b (1.23 mmol) and 130 mg of Et_3N (1.29 mmol) in 5 cm³ of CHCl₃ was added a solution of 172 mg of benzoylchloride (1.23 mmol) in 2 cm^3 of CHCl₃ and the mixture was heated under reflux for 1.5 h. The cold solution was diluted with 10 cm^3 of CHCl₃ and consecutively washed with $2\times10 \text{ cm}^3$ of H₂O and 10 cm^3 of saturated NH₄Cl solution. The organic layer was dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporated. The residue first was purified by FC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂), then recrystallized from *n*-hexane. Yield 116 mg (35%) colourless crystals, mp 142°C; TLC (CH₂Cl₂): $R_f = 0.38$; IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu} = 2229$ (CN), 1661 and 1636 (C=O) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 269 (M⁺⁺+1, 100), 242 (56), 105 (10); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 7.61 - 7.56$, 7.53-7.44, and 7.43-7.38 (3m, 2, 1, and 2arom H), 3.66-3.58, 3.39–3.32, and 3.31–3.23 (3m, each 1H), 1.92–1.65, 1.64–1.43, and 1.41–1.28 (3m, 8, 3, and 1H) ppm; ¹³C-NMR: δ = 174.19, 136.05, 131.14, 128.56, 128.27, 118.13, 60.42, 47.06, 42.88, 35.59, 30.27, 26.81, 25.16, 23.56. 23.32 ppm.

cis-(1-Benzyloctahydroindol-7a-yl)phenylmethanone $(21, C_{22}H_{25}NO)$

To a solution of 560 mg (2.3 mmol) of 11a in 5 cm³ of cyclohexane: $Et_2O(7:3)$ was added 5 cm³ of 1.8 M phenyllithium (9.0 mmol) in the same solvent. After stirring for 16 h at ambient temperature the mixture was quenched with 3 cm^3 of saturated NH₄Cl solution and diluted with 20 cm^3 of 0.5 N HCl. The aqueous layer was washed with $2\times10 \text{ cm}^3$ of Et_2O , rendered alkaline with saturated Na₂CO₃ solution and extracted with $3\times30 \text{ cm}^3$ of Et_2O . The ether extracts were dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated

in vacuo. The residue was purified by FC (silica gel, n-hexane: $Et_2O = 9:1$) giving a colourless oil, which was crystallized from hot MeOH. The colourless solid was collected by filtration, washed with a small volume of ice cold MeOH, and dried in vacuo. Yield 128 mg (17%), mp 100°C (MeOH); TLC (for eluent see FC): $R_f = 0.77$; IR (KBr): $\bar{\nu} = 1673$ (C=O) cm⁻¹; MS (CI): m/z (%) = 320 (M⁺+1, 100), 214 (41); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 8.34 - 8.31$ (m, 2arom H), 7.50 (tt, J = 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 1arom H), 7.42–7.37 and 7.36–7.15 (2m, 2 and 5arom H), 3.79 and 3.43 (2d, each $J = 12.9$ Hz, each 1H, benzyl–CH₂), 3.12 $(\text{ddd}, J = 9.6, 7.8, 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.00-2.91 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.61 \text{ (dt}, J = 9.6, 8.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 2.36-2.30 \text{ (m, 1H)},$ 1.89 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.71 and 1.60–1.28 (2m, 1 and 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: δ = 204.85, 139.63, 137.39, 131.60, 129.72 (2C), 128.82 (2C), 128.10 (2C), 127.80 (2C), 126.71, 73.84, 54.14, 49.85, 42.57, 26.54, 25.97, 22.98, 22.73, 20.35 ppm.

References

- [1] Forth W, Henschler D, Rummel W, Starke K (1996) Allgemeine und spezielle Pharmakologie und Toxikologie, 6. Aufl. Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg Berlin Oxford, S 48
- [2] Chen G, Ensor CR, Russell D, Bohner B (1959) J Pharmacol Exp Ther 127: 241
- [3] Forth W, Henschler D, Rummel W, Starke K (1996) Allgemeine und spezielle Pharmakologie und Toxikologie, 6. Aufl. Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg Berlin Oxford, S 48
- [4] Mutschler E (2001) Arzneimittelwirkungen, Lehrbuch der Pharmakologie und Toxikologie, 8. Aufl. Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft mbH Stuttgart, 283
- [5] Godefroi EF, Simanyi L (1962) J Org Chem 27: 3882
- [6] Bruylants P (1924) Bull Soc Chim Belges 33: 467
- [7] Segre A, Viterbo R, Parisi G (1957) J Am Chem Soc 79: 3503
- [8] Gingras M (1991) Tetrahedron Letters 32: 7381
- [9] Stork G, Landesmann HK (1956) J Am Chem Soc 78: 5128
- [10] Fleming FF, Shook BC (2002) J Org Chem 67: 2885
- [11] Putkonen T, Valkonen E, Tolvanen A, Jokela R (2002) Tetrahedron 58(39): 7869
- [12] Whitesell JK (1987) Stereochemical Analysis of Alicyclic Compounds by C-13 NMR Spectroscopy. Chapman and Hall, London
- [13] Sokolov VV, Potekhin AA, Ovchinnikova IV, Gindin VA, Smirnov SN (1994) Russ J Org Chem 30: 582
- [14] Vierhapper FW, Eliel EL (1977) J Org Chem 42: 51
- [15] Eliel EL, Vierhapper FW (1976) J Org Chem 41: 199
- [16] Potmischil F, Herzog H, Buddrus J (1999) Monatsh Chem 130: 691
- [17] Beierbeck H, Saunders JK, ApSimon JW (1977) Can J Chem 55: 2813
- [18] Sansoulet J, Tackx C, Welvart Z (1960) Compt Rend 250: 4370
- [19] Chen C, Kozikowski AP, Wood PL, Reynolds IJ, Ball RG, Pang Y-P (1992) J Med Chem 35: 1634
- [20] Yoshimura J, Ohgo Y, Sato T (1965) Bull Chem Soc Japan 38: 1809
- [21] Kelly RB, Alward SJ (1978) Can J Chem 56: 320
- [22] Sterzycki R (1979) Synthesis 724
- [23] Boeckelheide V, Müller M, Jack J, Grossnickle TT, Chang M (1959) J Am Chem Soc 81: 3955
- [24] Bergmann ED, Migron Y (1976) Tetrahedron 32: 2847
- [25] Carruthers W, Moses RC (1988) J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 1 1625
- [26] Pandey G, Hajra S, Ghorai MK (1997) J Org Chem 62: 5966
- [27] Mondon A (1959) Chem Ber **92**: 1461
- [28] Belleau B (1957) Can J Chem 35: 651
- [29] Sheldrick GM (1990) Programs for the Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures, Göttingen